




**CONSTITUTIONAL COURT
OF THE REPUBLIC OF TÜRKİYE**
INDIVIDUAL APPLICATION STATISTICS
(23/9/2012 - 30/9/2024)





Number of received and adjudicated individual applications by years

Received applications

633,488

There may be a slight decrease or increase, compared to the previous statistics, in the number of adjudicated applications due to the files closed in case of an inadmissibility decision on administrative grounds and reopened upon the acceptance of the challenge to the -inadmissibility decision, or the joinder or severance of the pending cases.

Adjudicated applications


527,803

The adjudication ratio of the applications lodged in 2016, excluding those lodged within the scope of the state of emergency, is 85%.

Adjudication ratio

83.3%

The adjudication ratio in 2017, excluding 72,134 cases found inadmissible due to non-exhaustion of legal remedies after the establishment of the Inquiry Commission on the State of Emergency Measures (OHAL Commission), is 90%.




received applications adjudicated applications

adjudication ratios



Number of pending applications by years

Number of pending applications by years as of 30 September 2024.



pending applications

105,685

received applications

633,488

ratio of pending applications
to received applications

16.7%




Number of received and adjudicated individual applications regarding right to a trial within a reasonable time (by years)

Received applications
149,532

Adjudicated applications
148,199

There may be a slight decrease or increase, compared to the previous statistics, in the number of adjudicated applications due to the files closed in case of an inadmissibility decision on administrative grounds and reopened upon the acceptance of the challenge to the inadmissibility decision, or the joinder or severance of the pending cases.


received applications adjudicated applications






Number of pending individual applications regarding right to a trial within a reasonable time

Number of individual applications regarding right to a trial within a reasonable time by 30 September 2024, which have been pending from the previous year.




Number of pending applications

1,333





Adjudicated applications by judgment type



inadmissibility

435,437

violation of at least one right

75,089

Number of cases where a violation was found is 7,985; and number of joinder of applications is 67,104.

rejection on administrative grounds

13,712

There may be a slight decrease or increase, compared to the previous statistics, in the number of adjudicated applications due to the files closed in case of an inadmissibility decision on administrative grounds and reopened upon the acceptance of the challenge to the inadmissibility decision, or the joinder or severance of the pending cases.

other

2,140

Strike-out, closure of the cases, and dismissal decisions

non-violation

1,425


Number of cases found admissible but involving no violation is 950; and number of joinder of applications is 475.

total
527,803



Adjudicated applications by judgment type

Excluding the applications regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time.



● inadmissibility

435,437

● violation of at least one right

18,846

Number of cases where a violation was found is 4,873; and number of joinder of applications is 13,773.

● rejection on administrative grounds

13,712

There may be a slight decrease or increase, compared to the previous statistics, in the number of adjudicated applications due to the files closed in case of an inadmissibility decision on administrative grounds and reopened upon the acceptance of the challenge to the inadmissibility decision, or the joinder or severance of the pending cases.

● other

2,140

Strike-out, closure of the cases, and dismissal decisions

● non-violation

1,425

Number of cases found admissible but involving no violation is 950; and number of joinder of applications is 475.

total

471,360



Ratio of violation judgments

Based on the adjudicated cases


Including cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency**, as well as those regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time and the joined applications

■ adjudicated applications*

527,803

■ judgments finding a violation

75,089




Excluding cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency**, but **including** those regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time and the joined applications

■ adjudicated applications*

455,669

■ judgments finding a violation

75,089



Based on the cases examined on the merits


Including cases regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time and the joined applications

■ applications examined on the merits

76,514

■ judgments finding a violation

75,089



* In the calculations excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, the number of cases involving a violation of merely the right to a trial within a reasonable time is extracted from the total number of adjudicated cases.

** 72,134 cases were found inadmissible due to non-exhaustion of legal remedies after the establishment of the Inquiry Commission on the State of Emergency Measures (OHAL Commission).



Ratio of violation judgments

Excluding the applications regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time

Based on the adjudicated cases


Including cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency**, **excluding** those regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, and **including** the joined applications

■ adjudicated applications*

471,360

■ judgments finding a violation

18,646




Excluding cases adjudicated with a decision on non-exhaustion of legal remedies within the scope of the state of emergency** and those regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, but **including** the joined applications

■ adjudicated applications*

399,226

■ judgments finding a violation

18,646



Based on the cases examined on the merits


Excluding the applications regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, but including the joined applications

■ applications examined on the merits

20,071

■ judgments finding a violation

18,646




* In the calculations excluding the right to a trial within a reasonable time, the number of cases involving a violation of merely the right to a trial within a reasonable time is extracted from the total number of adjudicated cases.

** 72,134 cases were found inadmissible due to non-exhaustion of legal remedies after the establishment of the Inquiry Commission on the State of Emergency Measures (OHAL Commission).



Number of individual applications involving a violation of at least one right

Including the applications regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time.



total
75,089



Number of individual applications involving a violation of at least one right

Excluding the applications regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time




total
18,646



Number of individual applications involving no violation

Cases where no violation was found as a result of the examination on the merits following the admissibility decision.




total
1,425



Number of individual applications examined on the merits

Including the applications regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time




total
76,514



Number of individual applications examined on the merits

Excluding the applications involving a violation of the right to a trial within a reasonable time.



total
20,071



Judgments finding a violation by rights and freedoms

An application may involve violations of several rights.

	right to a trial within a reasonable time	56,443	74.0%
	right to a fair trial*	5,487	7.2%
	right to property	4,661	6.1%
	freedom of expression	4,348	5.7%
	right to respect for private and family life	1,622	2.1%
	right to hold meetings and demonstration marches	1,432	1.9%
	prohibition of ill-treatment	1,079	1.4%
	right to personal liberty and security	420	0.6%
	right to life	268	0.4%

0.2%	right to protect one's corporeal and spiritual existence	144	
0.2%	right to trade-union freedom	136	
0.1%	freedom of organisation	86	
0.1%	principle of legality in crimes and punishment	48	
0.1%	right to education	39	
0.0%	right to elect, to stand for election, and to engage in political activities	18	
0.0%	freedom of religion and conscience	12	
0.0%	right to individual application	3	
0.0%	right to seek review of a decision	1	

* Excluding the applications regarding the right to a trial within a reasonable time

As the prohibition of discrimination and right to an effective remedy are addressed in conjunction with other rights and freedoms, the statistical information about the violations of the given prohibition and right is included in that of the respective rights and freedoms.

The judgments finding a violation of the presumption of innocence is included in the statistical information about the judgments involving a violation of the right to a fair trial.

total
76,247